

**Solution of a_2/a_1 sign problem in heavy meson decays:
nonfactorizable soft gluons in nonleptonic heavy meson decays**

B. Tseng and Hsiang-nan Li

Department of Physics, National Cheng-Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

For the first time, a solution to the a_2/a_1 problem in heavy meson decays is proposed, based on PQCD factorization theorems. The point is to include QCD evolution effects among various characteristic scales involved in decay processes.

1 Introduction

Nonleptonic weak decays are notoriously difficult to handle. Approximations are needed to simplify the analyses. The most widely adopted approach to exclusive nonleptonic heavy meson decays is the Bauer-Stech-Wirbel (BSW) model¹ based on the factorization hypothesis, in which decay rates are expressed in terms of various hadronic transition form factors multiplied by some coefficients. The coefficients corresponding to external W boson emission and to internal W boson emission are $a_1 = c_1 + c_2/N_c$ and $a_2 = c_2 + c_1/N_c$, respectively, c_i being the Wilson coefficients. The nonfactorizable contributions which cannot be expressed in terms of hadronic form factors, and the nonspectator contributions from W boson exchanges are neglected. In this way the BSW method avoids complicated QCD dynamics. In this approach the fierz-transformation term is treated as a free parameter ξ ($=1/N_c$) and it is found that over 20 decay modes can be successfully explained if $\xi=0$ which means that N_c goes to infinity and the large N_c approach is confirmed². To understand the successes of this large N_c approach, nonfactorizable contributions χ are included, and the effective coefficients a_1 and a_2 become

$$a_1^{\text{eff}} = c_1 + c_2 \left(\frac{1}{N_c} + \chi_1 \right), \quad a_2^{\text{eff}} = c_2 + c_1 \left(\frac{1}{N_c} + \chi_2 \right). \quad (1)$$

People have calculated these nonfactorizable contributions by using QCD sum rules³ and found that the parameters χ are negative for charm decays, canceling the color-suppressed term $1/N_c$. Hence, the famous "rule of discarding the $1/N_c$ corrections"³ found its dynamical origin in QCD.

Performing a similar BSW analysis for B meson decays⁴, it is found that a_2/a_1 is positive, in contrast to the negative a_2/a_1 for the charmed meson. The values $c_1(M_b) \approx 1.12$ and $c_2(M_b) \approx -0.26$ imply that a naive extension of the large N_c approach to bottom decays⁵ will lead to a negative a_2/a_1 , which is in conflict to experimental data. If nonfactorizable contributions are

included into a_2 , we will need positive χ to explain the data. Unfortunately, all the existing calculations give negative nonfactorizable contributions⁶. Up to now, it is still unclear what is wrong with these calculations and with the QCD-sum-rule method. This a_2/a_1 sign problem provides a challenging subject for the QCD sum rule method.

2 PQCD formalism for nonleptonic heavy meson decays

From the viewpoint of PQCD theory, nonleptonic weak decays are a three-scale problem. The involved scales are M_W , the W boson mass, t , the typical scale of the meson decay and Λ_{QCD} , which characterizes the hadronic size. Combining the effective field theory and the PQCD factorization theorem, a three-scale factorization formula has been proposed and applied to the $B \rightarrow J/\Psi K^*$ decay with success⁸. We assume that a parton carries small amount of transverse momenta k_T , which serve as an infrared regulator for radiative corrections. The factorization formula for the decay amplitude is written as

$$\mathcal{M} = H_r(M_W, \mu) \otimes H(t, \mu) \otimes \phi(b, \mu) \otimes U(b, \mu) , \quad (2)$$

in the impact parameter b space conjugate to k_T , where $\otimes$ represents a convolution relation, since variables t and b will be integrated out at last. According to the virtuality of gluon momenta, QCD dynamics are separated into a "harder" function H_r , a hard decay amplitude H and soft parts ϕ and U . The reducible soft gluons are absorbed into $\phi(b, \mu)$, while the irreducible ones into $U(b, \mu)$.

Let γ_{H_r} , γ_ϕ , and γ_U be the anomalous dimensions of H_r , ϕ , and U , respectively. The anomalous dimension of H is then $\gamma_H = -(\gamma_{H_r} + \gamma_\phi + \gamma_U)$, because a decay amplitude is ultraviolet finite. A RG treatment of Eq. (2) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &= H_r(M_W, M_W) \otimes H(t, t) \otimes \phi(b, 1/b) \otimes U(b, 1/b) \\ &\otimes \exp \left[\int_t^{M_W} \frac{d\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu}} \gamma_{H_r}(\alpha_s(\bar{\mu})) \right] \\ &\otimes \exp \left[- \int_{1/b}^t \frac{d\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu}} [\gamma_\phi(\alpha_s(\bar{\mu})) + \gamma_U(\alpha_s(\bar{\mu}))] \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

which becomes explicitly μ -independent. The two exponentials, describing the two-stage evolutions from M_W to t and from t to $1/b$, are the consequence of the summation of large $\ln(M_W/t)$ and $\ln(tb)$. The first exponential can be easily identified as the Wilson coefficient $c(t)$.

Equation (3) sums only the single logarithms. In fact, there exist the double logarithms $\ln^2(P^+b)$ in the meson wave function ϕ , which arise from

the overlap of collinear and soft divergences, P^+ being the largest light-cone component of the meson momentum. Hence, $\phi(b, \mu)$ in Eq. (2) should be replaced by

$$\phi(P^+, b, \mu) = \phi(b, \mu) \exp[-s(P^+, b)] , \quad (4)$$

where the exponential e^{-s} , the so-called Sudakov form factor, comes from the resummation of the double logarithms^{9,10}. We shall approximate $H_r(M_W, M_W)$ by its lowest-order expression $H_r^{(0)} = 1$, and evaluate $H(t, t)$ perturbatively, since all the large logarithms have been grouped into the exponents. For simplicity, we set the nonperturbative initial condition $U(b, 1/b)$ of the RG evolution to unity due to the strong suppression from the Sudakov form factor at large b , and neglect the b dependence in another nonperturbative initial condition $\phi(b, 1/b)$.

We need to calculate γ_U , which is complicated due to the nonabelian nature of color exchanges. The color structure of soft gluon exchanges can be analyzed as follows. If a soft gluon crosses the hard gluon vertex, the color structure is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & (T^a)_{b_1 b'_1} (T^H)_{b'_1 b_2} (T^a)_{a_2 a'_2} (T^H)_{a'_2 a_1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (T^H)_{a_2 b_2} (T^H)_{b_1 a_1} - \frac{1}{2N_c} (T^H)_{b_1 b_2} (T^H)_{a_2 a_1} , \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where T^a (T^H) is the color matrix associated with the soft (hard) gluon. Contracted with $\delta_{a_1 b_1} \delta_{a_2 b_2}$ from the color-singlet initial- and final-state mesons, the first term, denoting an octet contribution, diminishes. The second term leads to a factor $-1/(2N_c)$ without changing the original tree-level color flow. If a soft gluon does not cross the hard gluon vertex, it introduces a factor $T^a T^a = C_F = 4/3$.

3 $B^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^-$ and $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+$: solution of a_2/a_1 sign problem

Now we are ready to see how to solve this a_2/a_1 sign problem within our PQCD approach. Since the analyses of $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+$ is similar to that of $B^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^-$ (except for relevant kinematics), we will focus on $B^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^-$.

The decay amplitude is given by

$$\mathcal{M} = f_\pi [(1+r)\xi_+ - (1-r)\xi_-] + f_D \xi_i + \mathcal{M}_e + \mathcal{M}_i , \quad (6)$$

f_D and f_π being the D meson and pion decay constant, respectively. The form factor $\xi_\pm$ come from the factorizable external W contributions and ξ_i from the internal W contributions. The amplitudes $\mathcal{M}_e$ and $\mathcal{M}_i$ denote the corresponding nonfactorizable contributions. After including the soft gluons,

ξ becomes nonfactorizable. The nonfactorizable contribution can be extracted by subtracting its (lowest order) factorizable part. This is one of the key points to the solution of the a_2/a_1 sign problem. We refer readers to⁷ for more details.

After including the soft gluons, the factorizable external W -emission contribution $\chi_e^{(f)}$ and the factorizable internal W -emission contribution $\chi_i^{(f)}$ to the decay amplitude $\mathcal{M}$ in Eq. (6) should be identified as $\chi_e^{(f)} = f_\pi[(1+r)\xi_+ - (1-r)\xi_-]$, $\chi_i^{(f)} = f_{D(K)}\xi_i|_{S_U=0}$, where $\xi_i|_{S_U=0}$ denotes the lowest order internal W -emission. The nonfactorizable external W -emission contribution $\chi_e^{(nf)}$ and the nonfactorizable internal W -emission contribution $\chi_i^{(nf)}$ are then $\chi_e^{(nf)} = \mathcal{M}_e$, $\chi_i^{(nf)} = f_{D(K)}(\xi_i - \xi_i|_{S_U=0}) + \mathcal{M}_i$.

The results of the various form factors and amplitudes for the decays $B^- \rightarrow D^0\pi^-$ and $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0\pi^+$ are exhibited in the following Table(unit = 10^{-3} GeV).

$B \rightarrow D\pi$	external W (factorizable)	$f_D\xi_i$	$\mathcal{M}_e$	$\mathcal{M}_i$
$S_U = 0$	106.5	2.5	$-5.8 + 19.8i$	$18.5 - 12.5i$
$S_U \neq 0$	108.5	2.6	$-5.8 + 20.0i$	$18.8 - 11.0i$
$D \rightarrow K\pi$	external W (factorizable)	$f_K\xi_i$	$\mathcal{M}_e$	$\mathcal{M}_i$
$S_U = 0$	267.0	-21.3	$-18.8 + 19.0i$	$37.8 - 36.7i$
$S_U \neq 0$	1075.0	-529.3	$-18.5 + 13.6i$	$36.5 - 30.3i$
	$\chi_e^{(f)}$	$\chi_i^{(f)}$	$\chi_e^{(nf)}$	$\chi_i^{(nf)}$
$B \rightarrow D\pi$	108.5	2.5	$-5.8 + 20.0i$	$18.9 - 11.0i$
$D \rightarrow \bar{K}\pi$	1075.0	-21.3	$-18.5 + 13.6i$	$-471.5 - 30.3i$

The rows entitled by $S_U \neq 0$, whose values match the data, are derived with the soft corrections taken into account. Those by $S_U = 0$ only help to extract the nonfactorizable contributions $\chi^{(nf)}$, and to investigate the importance of the soft corrections. The exponentials e^{-S_U} , which basically act as enhancing factors, then amplify the contributions from the region with smaller t , where the Wilson coefficients are larger. Therefore, the soft gluon effects are more important in charm decays as show in the above Table.

The factorizable external W -emission contributions are positive in both the B and D meson decays, and their magnitudes increase, after including the soft corrections with $\gamma_U < 0$. ξ_i changes sign, since the Wilson coefficient c_2 becomes so negative, when evolving from the characteristic scale of the B meson decay to that of the D meson decay, that it overcomes the positive c_1/N_c . Their magnitudes also increase because of $\gamma_U < 0$. The real parts of the nonfactorizable amplitudes $\mathcal{M}_e$ ($\mathcal{M}_i$) are always negative (positive) due

to the negative c_2 (positive c_1/N_c) in the B and D meson decays. From the table, we have $Re(\chi_i^{(nf)}) = +0.0189$ GeV for the B meson decay and $Re(\chi_i^{(nf)}) = -0.4715$ GeV for the D meson decay. It is easy to attribute the sign change of $\chi_i^{(nf)}$ to the stronger enhancement of ξ_i by the soft corrections in charm decays.

4 Summary

In this talk, I describe a solution to the a_2/a_1 sign problem. The nonfactorizable contributions in nonleptonic heavy meson decays are carefully identified in our formalism, and found to be positive for bottom decays and negative for charm decays with the inclusion of soft gluons.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

B. Tseng would like to thank Profs. K.Hagiwara and A. Ali for valuable discussions during the workshop. This work was supported in part by the National Science Council of ROC under Contract Nos. NSC87-2112-M-006-018.

References

1. M. Bauer, B. Stech and M. Wirbel, Z. Phys. C **29**, 637 (1985); **34**, 103 (1987).
2. A.J. Buras and J.-M. Gerard, Nucl. Phys. **B264**, 371 (1986).
3. B. Blok and M. Shifman, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **45**, 135, 301, 522, (1987).
4. H.Y. Cheng and B. Tseng, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 6259 (1995) and references therein.
5. A different large N_c approach, based on additional analysis of the Wilson coefficients, is given by M. Neubert and B. Stech, hep-ph/9705292.
6. B. Blok and M. Shifman, Nucl. Phys. **B389**, 534 (1993), I. Halperin, Phys. Lett. B **349**, 548 (1995), A. Khodjamirian and R. Rückl, hep-ph/9503321.
7. H-n. Li and B. Tseng, Phys. Rev. D **56**, (1997) and references therein.
8. C.H. Chang and H-n. Li, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 5577 (1997); T.W. Yeh and H-n. Li, Phys. Rev. D **56** (1997).
9. H-n. Li and H.L. Yu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4388 (1995); Phys. Rev. D **53**, 4970 (1995); Phys. Lett. B **353**, 301 (1995).
10. H-n. Li, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 3958 (1995); C.Y. Wu, T.W. Yeh, and H-n. Li, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 4982 (1996).